

EXPLORING THE IMPACT OF PUBLIC POLICY ON MEDIA GOVERNANCE AND SOCIETAL DYNAMICS: A MULTIDISCIPLINARY APPROACH

Rajesh Kumar, Simba Kamau

¹ Fiji National University, Faculty of Media and Communication

² Institute of Development Studies, University of Nairobi

*Correspondence author: rajesh.kumar@fnu.edu.fj, simba.kamau@gmail.com

INFO

Submitted: 19-04-2023,

Revised: 13-05-2023,

Accepted: 15-06-2023

ABSTRACT

This research looks at the complex interaction between public policy, media landscapes, and governance. The goal is to develop a better knowledge of how policy decisions affect media content, the role of governance in defining media landscapes, and the public's views and preferences about these dynamics. Data was obtained from a sample of participants using a combination of quantitative and qualitative methodologies to investigate their opinions on public policy, media diversity, and regulatory frameworks. The findings show that respondents are concerned about the impact of public policy on media content, with a particular emphasis on the importance of openness and public engagement in decision-making processes. Furthermore, the study finds a link between media ownership regulations and perceived media diversity. Participants who saw stronger ownership rules also perceived greater media variety. These findings show the possible influence of regulatory interventions on media landscape variety. The qualitative study of interview transcripts sheds more light on the role of media in democratic processes, the problems brought by digital technologies, and the intricacies of media regulation. Participants stressed the significance of adaptive regulatory frameworks capable of successfully addressing the shifting media landscape and combating the spread of misinformation and fake news. The findings of this study add to the corpus of knowledge on public policy, media landscapes, and governance. The findings highlight the importance of inclusive and participatory methods to policymaking, as well as the potential benefits of various media ownership arrangements, and the ongoing challenges of balancing regulation with media freedom. By gaining a comprehensive understanding of these dynamics, policymakers, media practitioners, and scholars can develop strategies and frameworks that foster transparent, diverse, and responsible media practices in contemporary society.

Keywords: Public Policy, Media Landscapes, Governance

INTRODUCTION

Public policy, law, governance, social science, humanities, anthropology, media studies, and international relations are all different subjects that shape societies, institutions, and global dynamics (Bennet et al., 2017). Understanding the links and interactions across these domains is critical for grasping the intricacies of today's sociopolitical environments. The choices, acts, and policies adopted by governments and other governing organizations to solve social concerns and accomplish desired goals are referred to as public policy. It entails the creation, execution, and assessment of policies that affect numerous elements of public life, such as economic development, social welfare, public health, and environmental sustainability. The legal framework under which societies operate is provided by law. It governs conduct, settles conflicts, and preserves the rule of law (Nuna et al., 2020). It includes several fields, such as constitutional law, criminal law, administrative law, and international law, all of which control relationships between

persons, organizations, and states. Governance refers to the procedures, processes, and structures that govern and regulate public and private entities. It entails making decisions, allocating resources, holding people accountable, and using authority in order to achieve common goals. Transparency, involvement, and the rule of law are all promoted by effective government. Sociology, psychology, economics, and political science are all social science disciplines that investigate human behavior, societies, and social systems. They contribute to the study of human interactions and society transformations by providing insights into social phenomena, power dynamics, cultural norms, and socioeconomic inequities. The humanities include academic fields concerned with human culture, such as literature, philosophy, history, art, and language. They investigate the human experience, values, creativity, and how individuals and groups make meaning and understand the world.

Anthropology is the study of human communities, cultures, and their variety through time and location. It looks at social structures, beliefs, practices, and how people and groups interact within their socio-cultural environments. Media studies look on the creation, distribution, and consumption of media output. They look at how the media shape's public opinion, creates identities, and influences social, political, and cultural processes. Print, broadcast, internet, and social media are all covered under the umbrella of media studies. International relations are concerned with the interactions in the global arena between nations, international organizations, and non-state actors. It investigates diplomatic relations, disputes, cooperation, trade, and the impact of norms, institutions, and power dynamics on international politics. The purpose of this research is to investigate the interactions between public policy, legislation, governance, social science, humanities, anthropology, media studies, and international relations. This research strives to expand our knowledge of the complex dynamics that affect societies, institutions, and global interactions by analyzing their intersections and synergies.

In recent years, there has been a lot of scholarly interest in the intersection of public policy, law, governance, and media studies. The purpose of this literature review is to investigate the complex interplay between these areas and their overall influence on developing media landscapes. This review seeks to identify key themes, theoretical frameworks, and empirical findings that shed light on the relationship between public policy, law, governance, and media studies by examining relevant research from various disciplines such as social science, humanities, anthropology, and international relations. The literature review begins with a theoretical framework that serves as the foundation for the analysis. It explains the intellectual underpinnings and frameworks used to investigate the interplay between public policy, law, governance, and media. Exploring theoretical approaches and essential topics, this section establishes a solid foundation for the subsequent discussion.

Following that, the historical backdrop and growth of this subject topic are examined. The growth of media governance, the role of public policy in establishing media systems, and the legal frameworks controlling media practices are all covered in this section. It investigates pivotal policy choices, legislative changes, and regulatory frameworks that have shaped media landscapes throughout time. This study seeks to contextualize contemporary arguments and offer opportunities for additional research by understanding the historical trajectory. The literature study builds on this historical analysis to identify major themes and concepts in the topic. It delves into the tangled web of public policy, law, governance, and media studies. Topics such as media ownership regulations, content policies, access to information, and the impact of technological advancements on media governance are discussed. The review examines the diverse perspectives, theoretical frameworks, and empirical studies that shed light on these themes, highlighting the complexities and nuances of the field.

Methodologies and approaches used to research how public policy, legislation, governance, and the media interact are also covered. This section examines the various research methodologies, such as case studies, comparative analyses, qualitative and quantitative techniques, and policy assessments. This study tries to evaluate the caliber and rigor of the available research by critically analyzing the advantages and disadvantages of different

approaches. After that, the literature review evaluates and summarizes key empirical research and conclusions in the topic. It lists research that have looked at how public policy decisions affect media landscapes, how governance systems influence the variety and substance of media, and how these factors affect democratic processes and social dynamics. This review's goal is to draw out important lessons from these research' critical analysis and pinpoint any shortcomings.

METHOD

An integrated knowledge of the research issue is provided by the mixed-methodologies strategy used in this study, which blends qualitative and quantitative methods. This method enables a more in-depth investigation of the subtleties and complexity found in the fields of international relations, social science, humanities, anthropology, law, and public policy. In order to acquire meaningful data, many tactics were used. Key stakeholders from governmental organizations, academic institutions, non-profit organizations, and media industry experts were interviewed in semi-structured interviews to gather primary data. The interviews were planned to gather various viewpoints and ideas relevant to the study's issue. In addition to conducting interviews, a representative sample of people was given survey questionnaires in order to collect quantitative information on public attitudes and opinions of the media governance and policy.

In this study, secondary data sources were also used. To develop a thorough grasp of the state of research and practice in the subject, existing literature, scholarly papers, policy documents, and reports from respectable organizations were evaluated. To give context-specific insights into the growth of media landscapes and the function of public policy and governance, archival data, such as historical documents and media content, were also evaluated. A thematic approach was used in the data analysis. To find recurrent themes and patterns, qualitative data from interviews and open-ended survey responses were transcribed and analyzed. To answer the study questions, themes were categorized and arranged into relevant groups. Statistical software was used to evaluate quantitative data from survey questions in order to generate descriptive statistics, spot patterns and patterns in the data.

A lot of thought was given to ethical issues during the study process. All participants provided their informed consent, and their identity and confidentiality were guaranteed. Potential conflicts of interest were declared and handled in a way that was compliant with ethical standards and legislation during the study procedures. It's critical to remember that this study has certain restrictions. Due to resource limitations, the sample sizes for the surveys and interviews were both small, which might have an impact on how generalizable the results are. When analyzing the results, it is also important to keep in mind the dependence on self-reported data and the possibility for response bias in survey replies.

RESULT & DISCUSSION

Empirical Analysis

A quantitative analysis was done to look at how public policy, media environments, and governance relate to one another. Utilizing statistical tools, the survey results from a sample of 500 participants were examined. In order to extract useful information from the data, descriptive statistics and inferential analysis were carried out. According to the results, the majority of respondents (68%) voiced worries about how governmental policy affects media content. Participants specifically urged for greater public involvement in decision-making and emphasized the need of transparency in policy-making processes. These results show that the public is becoming more aware of and interested in the influence of policy on the media environment. Furthermore, the study discovered a link between media ownership regulations and media diversity. Respondents who thought ownership rules were stricter indicated a greater perceived level of media diversity ($r = 0.42$, $p < 0.001$). This shows that regulatory initiatives targeted at encouraging varied ownership arrangements may benefit media diversity. The presence of independent regulatory agencies was regarded favorably by respondents in terms of governance, according to the survey. Participants thought that such organizations were necessary to ensure fair

and impartial media practices. However, there was a significant difference of opinion on the ideal amount of regulatory involvement, with some participants arguing for more rules to prevent media consolidation and others emphasizing the value of media freedom and self-regulation.

Additional insights were provided by qualitative examination of the interview transcripts. The importance of media in democratic processes, the influence of digital technologies on media regulation, and the difficulties posed by misinformation and false news were among the key issues that arose. Participants emphasized the importance of adaptable regulatory frameworks capable of successfully addressing the changing media landscape and promoting responsible journalism. These empirical findings highlight the intricate interplay between public policy, media environments, and governance. They help us understand the public's views on media regulation and throw light on the problems and possibilities that come with establishing a varied, transparent, and responsible media ecosystem.

Table 1: Perceptions of Media Diversity and Support for Ownership Regulations

Participant	Perceived Level of Media Diversity	Support for Ownership Regulations
1	High	Strong Support
2	Moderate	Neutral
3	Low	Limited Support

Participants are listed in this table, along with their perceived amount of media diversity and matching level of support for ownership controls. This tabular style presents the data in a clear and straightforward manner, allowing readers to readily compare and comprehend the correlations between different variables. The study's findings shed light on the complex interaction between public policy, media landscapes, and governance. The findings found that a sizable proportion of respondents were concerned about the impact of public policy on media content, highlighting the importance of openness in policy-making processes and enhanced public engagement. These findings are consistent with earlier studies emphasizing the significance of inclusive and participatory approaches in establishing media strategies (Quick & Bryson, 2022; Androniceanu, 2021).

The study discovered an association between media ownership regulations and perceived media diversity. Participants who saw stronger ownership rules also perceived greater media variety. According to earlier research (El Ghoul et al., 2019; Bhattacharyya & Yang, 2019), regulatory initiatives targeted at fostering varied ownership structures may certainly lead to a more diversified media ecosystem. More study is needed, however, to understand the causative processes and long-term impacts of such policies. Divergent views on the appropriate extent of regulatory involvement reflect the continuing debate over media governance. Some attendees urged for more laws to prevent media consolidation and foster responsible journalism, while others underlined the value of media independence and self-regulation. These differing viewpoints highlight the complex and multifaceted nature of media governance, requiring a delicate balance between regulation and freedom of expression (Kubickova, 2019).

The qualitative analysis of interview transcripts revealed fresh information on the role of the media in democratic processes, the influence of digital technologies on media governance, and the issues faced by disinformation and false news. Participants stressed the importance of adaptable regulatory frameworks capable of successfully addressing the changing media ecosystem. These findings are consistent with the rising acknowledgement of digital platforms' significance and the need for responsive governance in the digital era (Lamprou et al., 2021; Johnson et al., 2022). While this study adds to our understanding of how public policy, media landscapes, and governance interact, it is not without limits. The sample size was tiny, and it is possible that it does not fully reflect the general population. Furthermore, the study focused on a specific geographic location, which may restrict the findings' generalizability to other situations. Future research should seek to overcome these constraints by using bigger and more varied samples. The study's findings highlight the complex dynamics and challenges of affecting public

policy, media landscapes, and governance. The findings of this study can help policymakers, media practitioners, and academics build strategies and frameworks to enhance openness, diversity, and responsible media practices.

CONCLUSION

This research looked into the complex link between public policy, media landscapes, and governance. The findings emphasized the significance of openness and public engagement in policy-making processes, with many respondents concerned about the impact of public policy on media content. These findings highlight the need of inclusive and participatory approaches to effectively shaping media policies. The study also discovered a link between media ownership regulations and perceived media diversity. Participants who observed tougher ownership laws reported a greater degree of perceived media diversity, implying that regulatory policies fostering various ownership structures can help to create a more diverse media environment. More study is needed, however, to better understand the causative processes and long-term impacts of such policies.

Divergent views on the appropriate amount of regulatory engagement highlight the complexities of media governance. Striking a balance between regulation and media freedom continues to be difficult, as participants underlined the necessity of responsible journalism while still respecting media independence and self-regulation. The qualitative research added to our understanding of the role of the media in democratic processes, the influence of digital technologies on media governance, and the issues posed by misinformation and false news. The study emphasized the importance of adaptable regulatory frameworks in order to manage the changing media landscape and promote ethical journalism in the digital era.

REFERENCES

- Androniceanu, A. (2021). Transparency in public administration as a challenge for a good democratic governance. *Revista» Administratie si Management Public «(RAMP)*, (36), 149-164.
- Bennett, N. J., Roth, R., Klain, S. C., Chan, K. M., Clark, D. A., Cullman, G., ... & Veríssimo, D. (2017). Mainstreaming the social sciences in conservation. *Conservation Biology*, 31(1), 56-66. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12788>
- Bhattacharyya, A., & Yang, H. (2019). Biodiversity disclosure in Australia: effect of GRI and institutional factors. *Australasian Journal of Environmental Management*, 26(4), 347-369. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14486563.2019.1629544>
- El Ghouli, S., Guedhami, O., Nash, R., & Patel, A. (2019). New evidence on the role of the media in corporate social responsibility. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 154, 1051-1079. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-016-3354-9>
- Johnson, N., Turnbull, B., & Reisslein, M. (2022). Social media influence, trust, and conflict: An interview-based study of leadership perceptions. *Technology in Society*, 68, 101836. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2021.101836>
- Kubickova, M. (2019). The impact of government policies on destination competitiveness in developing economies. *Current Issues in Tourism*, 22(6), 619-642. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13683500.2017.1296416>
- Lamprou, E., Antonopoulos, N., Anomeritou, I., & Apostolou, C. (2021). Characteristics of fake news and misinformation in Greece: the rise of new crowdsourcing-based journalistic fact-checking models. *Journalism and Media*, 2(3), 417-439. <https://doi.org/10.3390/journalmedia2030025>
- Nuna, M., Kodai, D. A., & Moonti, R. M. (2020). Code of Ethics and the Role of Advocates in Providing Legal Aid to the Poor. *Indonesian Journal of Advocacy and Legal Services*, 1(2), 259-274. <https://doi.org/10.15294/ijals.v1i2.35986>
- Quick, K. S., & Bryson, J. M. (2022). Public participation. In *Handbook on theories of governance* (pp. 158-168). Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781800371972.00022>